

### REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Claims 36, 37 and 54-73 were pending for examination. By this Amendment, Applicant is amending claims 36 and 73 without prejudice or disclaimer. New claims 74-77 have been added. Support for the claim amendments and newly added claims can be found, for example, in the specification on page 8, lines 15-27, and on page 12, lines 23-33. Claims 36, 37 and 54-77 are, therefore, pending for examination with claims 36 and 73 being independent claims. Applicant expressly reserves the right to pursue any subject matter canceled herein in this or one or more continuing applications.

No new matter has been added.

### Interview Summary

Applicant respectfully thanks Examiner Smith for conducting an interview with Applicant's representative. During the interview the outstanding rejections and possible claim amendments were discussed. While no final agreement was reached, the Examiner did indicate that alternate language in regard to values for the nature and degree of sulfation or acetylation may be useful in overcoming the obviousness rejections put forth in the currently outstanding Final Office Action.

Interview  
Summary ok  
CLS, 7/16/07

### Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 36, 37 and 54-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant respectfully traverses. However, in the interest of expediting prosecution, and without conceding the correctness of this rejection, Applicant has removed the phrase "the identifier". Therefore, Applicant believes that this rejection is now moot.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.